



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/670,715

09/25/2003

David A. Luick

ROC920030293US1

6101

46797

7590

07/09/2008

IBM CORPORATION, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

DEPT 917, BLDG. 006-1

3605 HIGHWAY 52 NORTH

ROCHESTER, MN 55901-7829

EXAMINER

ROJAS, MEDYS

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2185

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/09/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/670,715

Applicant(s)

LUICK, DAVID A.

Examiner

Midys Rojas

Art Unit

2185

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Midys Rojas.

(3) _____.

(2) Applicant's Representative (Anje Shanoi).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 01 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Dean et al. (6,604,174).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed possible amendments to the claims and how these would overcome the current Prior Art of Record. The examiner agreed that it would overcome the current Prior Art of Record; yet clarified that further searching would be necessary.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Midys Rojas/
Examiner, Art Unit 2185

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.